	Case 2:24-cv-01660-DJC-CSK Document 50	Filed 09/11/25	Page 1 of 3	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	ANTHONY HERRERA,	Case No. 2:24-cv-016	60 DIC CSK P	
12	Plaintiff,	Cuse 110. 2.24 ev 010	oo bac carri	
13		ORDER		
14		ORDER		
15	LYNCH, et al.,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action arising			
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court are motions for extensions of time filed by			
20	plaintiff and defendants. (ECF Nos. 46, 47.) For the following reasons, both motions for			
21	extensions of time are granted.			
22	On May 5, 2025, this Court issued the Discovery and Scheduling Order setting the			
23	discovery deadline for August 29, 2025 and the pretrial motion deadline for November 21, 2025.			
24	(ECF No. 44.) This Court ordered that all requests for discovery pursuant to Federal Rules of			
25	Civil Procedure 31, 33, 34 or 36 shall be served not later than sixty days prior to August 29, 2025,			
26	i.e., June 30, 2025. (<u>Id.</u>) This Court also ordered that responses to written discovery requests			
27	were due forty-five days after the requests were se	rvea. (<u>Ia.</u>)		
28				

Case 2:24-cv-01660-DJC-CSK Document 50 Filed 09/11/25 Page 2 of 3

On August 25, 2025, plaintiff filed a motion for a sixty-day extension of time to serve defendants with responses to defendants' request for admissions and request for production of documents. (ECF No. 46.) These discovery requests were served on plaintiff on June 26, 2025. (ECF No. 47 at 3.) Plaintiff claims that his attorney Ronald J. Higgins requires time to become familiar with this case and prepare response to defendants' discovery requests. (ECF No. 46 at 1.) The court record does not reflect that plaintiff is represented by Mr. Higgins. If Mr. Higgins intends to represent plaintiff in this action, Mr. Higgins shall notify the court in accordance with Local Rule 182 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. In the pending motion, plaintiff also states that plaintiff requires additional time to gather the documents defendants request. (Id. at 7.) Plaintiff states that plaintiff is still waiting on his counselor and the mental health department to provide plaintiff with requested documents. (Id.) Good cause appearing, plaintiff is granted until October 24, 2025 to serve defendants with responses to the request for admissions and request for production of documents. No further requests for extensions of time to serve defendants with responses to these discovery requests will be granted.

In plaintiff's motion for extension of time, plaintiff also requests that plaintiff be allowed to depose all defendants. (Id. at 7.) However, plaintiff does not address whether he is able to pay for the costs of conducting depositions. See Starkey v. Hernandez, 2018 WL 2441554, at *1 (S.D. Cal. May 31, 2018) ("'[T]his court has no authority to finance or pay for a party's discovery expenses even though the party has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.'") (quoting Coates v. Kafczyski, 2006 WL 416244, at *2 (W.D. Mich. Feb. 22, 2006)). "The taking of depositions would entail stenographic or court reporter expenses which this court is not authorized to pay, and plaintiff has made no showing that he is able to pay the expenses for the taking of depositions." Coates, 2006 WL 416244, *2. For these reasons, plaintiff's request to depose defendants is denied.

On August 29, 2025, defendants filed a motion for a seven-day extension of the August 29, 2025 discovery deadline to file a motion to compel based on plaintiff's alleged misconduct at his deposition and to respond to the allegations made in plaintiff's motion filed August 25, 2025

	Case 2:24-cv-01660-DJC-CSK Document 50 Filed 09/11/25 Page 3 of 3			
1	regarding defense counsel's alleged misconduct. (ECF No. 47.) Good cause appearing,			
2	defendants' motion for extension of time is granted.			
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
4	1. Plaintiff's motion for a sixty-day extension of time (ECF No. 46) is granted;			
5	2. On or before October 25, 2025, plaintiff shall serve defendants with responses to the			
6	request for admissions and request for production of documents; no further requests for			
7	extensions of time to serve defendants with responses to these discovery requests will			
8	be granted;			
9	3. Plaintiff's request to depose defendants (ECF No. 46) is denied;			
10	4. Defendants' motion for a seven-day extension of time (ECF No. 47) is granted; and			
11	5. Defendants' motion to compel and for extension of time filed September 5, 2025 is			
12	timely filed.			
13				
14	Dated: September 11, 2025			
15	CHI SOO KIM			
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23 24				
25				
26				
20 27				
28				